Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/805,284	KUSUNOKI, MASANORI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paula W. Klimach	2135
All Participants:	Status of Application final	n: Request for reconsideration after
(1) <u>Paula W. Klimach</u> .	(3)	ļ
(2) <u>Paul Teng</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04/18/05</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 7, 14, 17, 20		
Prior art documents discussed: Samson (6,339,423) and Sasmazel (6,032,260)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Although the claims preamble includes "connecting the client to the second terminal server via the first terminal server," this in not included in the limitation of the claims. Adding this to the limitations will overcome the references Sasmazel et al (6,032,260) and Samson (6,339,423 B1)..